भारतीय खान ब्यूरो क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक का कार्यालय

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF MINES INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL CONTROLLER OF MINES

Speed Post /FAX

No/ संख्या-614(2)/MP-B-248/06-DDN

100- Old Nehru Colony, Dehradun (UK) 100-ओल्ड नेहरू कालोनी देहरादून (उत्तराखण्ड), Dated/ दिनॉंक 19.05.2017

To/सेवा में: Mr. Raja Waqar Asif C/o-160-Housing Colony, Rawalpora Srinagar (J&K)

Sub/विषयः

Submission of Review & Updation of Mining Plan with Progressive Mine Closure Plan of Diakhan Bajnar Limestone Mine situated in Village - Diakhan Bajnar, District-Pulwama, State J & K of Mr. Raja Waqar Asif measuring over

an area of 4.98 hectares.

Ref./ संदर्भ:

Your letter No- 4CC/114/2017 dated 15.04.2017 received in this office on 5.5.2017

Sir/महोदय.

We have received two copies of the above-mentioned draft Review & Updation of Mining Plan with Progressive Mine Closure Plan on 05.05.2017. On examination of the same the discrepancies/deficiencies observed have been listed in annexure.

You are requested to modify the draft Review & Updation of Mining Plan with Progressive Mine Closure Plan as per enclosed annexure and submit the 3 fair copies of the Review & Updation of Mining Plan with Progressive Mine Closure Plan within 15 days from the date of issue of this letter after corrections. If the fair copies of Review & Updation of Mining Plan with Progressive Mine Closure Plan will not be submitted within stipulated time, final action will be taken as per rule. A soft copy of the fair Review & Updation of Mining Plan with Progressive Mine Closure Plan may also be submitted including text and plates. Draft copy of mining plan may also be returned along with fair copies. This scrutiny letter is being issued with the approval of competent authority.

Encl: as above.

Yours faithfully, भवदीय,

(एस0 सकलानी / S. Saklani)

सहायक खनन भूवैज्ञानिक/AMG

कृते उप खान नियंत्रक एवं प्रभारी अधिकारी, / for DCOM & OIC भारतीय खान ब्यूरो / Indian Bureau of Mines

प्रतिलिपि स्चनार्थ-

1- खान नियंत्रकं (उ०), भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, उदयपुर।

2- Shri G.N. Chowdry, 17-Iqbal Colony, Chanapora, Srinagar (J&K)with a draft Review & Updation of Mining Plan with Progressive Mine Closure Plan (Speed Post) पत्र विश्वा हिल्या है

सहायक खनन भूवैज्ञानिक / AMG

कृते उप खान नियंत्रक एवं प्रभारी अधिकारी, / for DCOM & OIC

भारतीय खान ब्यूरो / Indian Bureau of Mines

Mukesh cl.7 C:\Users\IBM\Desktop\Mukesh\Hinglish For.Scr. Diakhan Bajnar Raja Waqar Asif SS.doc

Scrutiny comments in respect of Review and updation of Mining Plan with PMCP of Daikhan Bajnar, Khrew limestone mine of Mr Raja Waqar Asif (4.98 hect.) in Pulwama district of J&K State submitted under Rule 17(1) of MCR 2016 & 23 of MCDR 1988.

- On cover page rule of PMCP is wrongly quoted. PMCP is to be submitted under rule 23 of MCDR 2017. Similar correction is required to be done on certificates, consent letter page 9.
- 2. Consent letter and certificates are required to be appended at the end of text as an annexure.
- 3. Lease period is wrongly given on cover page. As per annexure the lease was granted on 10.03.2011.
- 4. Consent letter on letter head is not there.

of which place is to open many the

- 5. MCR 2016 and MCDR 2017 is in vogue at present thus wherever reference to these rules and other rules notified under MMDR Act, 1957(uptodate) context is made in this document and other, reference to corresponding rules shall be made.
- 6. Status of lessee at 1(b) page 2 is not correct. Please refer grant order and ML deed.
- 7. Annexure X, XI, XII, XIII and its enclosures are not legible. Thus photo copy of original should be given.
- 8. On perusal of grant letter, the name of village is indicated as "Daikhan Banjar, Khrew whereas on cover page of the text and other relevant places the same is mentioned as Daikhan Bajnar Khrew. Name should be as per lease deed only.
- 9. Previous approval letter of SoM/MP may be enclosed.
- 10. Copy of lease deed is not enclosed.
- 11. On cover page the title of the land is indicated as Kachrai land. What type of land is this. Clarify it.
- 12. The details of lessee as per ML deed should be mentioned in Bank guarantee submitted against FA to IBM.
- 13. The details at page 9 of para (j) of geology chapter is incomplete and not as per rules made under MMDR Act, 1957(as amended upto date).
- 14. Category of mine mentioned at cover page is 'B' category which is not correct as the drilling, blasting & excavation, dumper will be used for mining refer page 21. Thus FA at page 64 will be calculated for mechanised mine as per rule 27 of MCDR 2017.
- 15. On page 8 under item 3.6, it should be written as Not applicable.
- 16. Representative photographs are of the site are not enclosed.

28 -

- 17. On perusal of Photo identity of the lessee it is observed that the name of lessee starts with "Viquar' whereas in the lease grant letter, cover page and consent letter etc it is indicated as "Waqar". It is to be clarified.
- 18. Exploration carried out in the past has not been depicted on geological plan and sections...
- 19. On page 15 it is mentioned that surface and geological plans are prepared as per rule 28 of MCDR 1988 which is wrong. These should be prepared as per rule 32(a) & (b) of MCDR 2017. The text is to modified accordingly.
- 20. The exploration shall be carried out as per rule 12 of MCDR 2017. Accordingly proposals should be incorporated for future exploration.
- 21. Extent of the area covered under G-1, G-2, G-3 and G-4 axis are not shown on Surface Geological Plan. Further, the area covered under these axes is to be given in tabulated form in text part as well.
- 22. The reserves and resources should be given in tabular form so as to depict:
 - A-Reserves and resources(R&R) indicated in the previous mining plan (approved on the last occasion).
 - B- Depletion of reserves.
 - C-Addition and reduction in R&R if any.
 - D-Balance (updated) reserves and resources as on.....
- 23. On page 19 total geological resources are indicated but the same is not matching with the calculation made in the previous pages. It should be checked thoroughly. Accordingly reserves should be assessed a fresh.
- 24. Dimensions of the pits are not indicated under mining chapter.
- 25. No proposals out side the ML area shall be considered for approval.
- 26. Yearwise production proposals are given in the text under mining chaptor. Face length are not matching with the length indicated on the relevant plates.
- . 27. On page 21 it is mentioned that mining will be open cast semi mechanised whereas on cover page it is mentioned as category 'B' mine. It needs clarification.
 - 28. Calculation of ROM for third and fifth year is incorrect as marked with ball point in the text on page 32 & 33.
 - 29. Conceptual plan is not dealt adequately. Anticipated life of the mine is not indicated. Conceptual exploration has not been dealt adequately.
 - 30. Provision of mining engineer is not incorporated.
 - 31. On page 59 under item 8.3.5 yearwise proposals are not indicated. It should match with reclamation plan.
 - 32. End use/Consuming industries details are not given in the text.



- 33. PMCP is to be submitted under rule 23 of MCDR 2017.
- 34. Latest chemical analysis report is not carried out from NABL accredited lab.
- 35. Yearwise proposals for rehabilitation and reclamation should be given in tabular form.
- 36. KML file of lease area should be submitted along with fair copies.
- 37. The mine is located on hill slope. Hence adequate proposals should be incorporated like controlled blasting techniques, erecting retaining walls, check dams, parapet walls to ensure safe and systematic mining for ensuing five years.
- 38. Irrelevant annexures for reserves estimation are enclosed.
- 39. Original signature of the lessee is required on the consent letter. Xerox copy is not accepted.
- 40. There are several typographical mistakes which require to be corrected.
- 41. All the annexures should be attested by qualified persons for their authenticity.
- 42. Corrections marked in the text and plates by ball point may also be attended.
- 43. A CD / pen drive covering the entire document and plans should be enclosed at the time of final submission. Undertaking in this regard by the qualified person should be given that the CD contains the same text & plates as submitted in hard copy.

Plates

- 44. All the plans should bear a certificate that the plans and sections are prepared on the plan authenticated by the State government. Authentic lease plan with all the Khasra details of the villages duly verified by Geology & Mining department of State Govt showing the location of the lease area with DGPS coordinates of boundary pillars should be enclosed in which original lease area, area surrendered and retained area are to be marked precisely. Authentic lease plan shall be the basis for the preparation of all the plans and sections. There should not be any deviations in all the plans and sections with respect to configuration given in the lease plan.
- 45. Key plan is not enclosed.
- 46. Surface plan/surface geological plan is not correct with 3 ground control points.
- 47. SGP and geological sections are incomplete
- 48. Proposed exploration to cover entire are in G-1 axis is not shown. Present pit position are not marked in geological section.
- 49. On plate 5 & 6 under index RL above sea level is indicated as 1710 mts. What is this it is not understood.
- 50. Index is not given in yearwise section plan.

2/-

- 51. Plate no. 13 is named as composite plan. What is this. Is it conceptual plan. In case it is conceptual plan then it is not prepared as per guideline.
- 52. Features to be shown as per rule 32(a) of MCDR 2017 are not depicted in surface plan. Scale is not correct.
- 53. In financial area assurance plan, almost/ maximum ML area is covered under put to use for mining purose whereas financial assurance is given only for 2.415 hectares out of which 0.5429 hectares is green belt. Thus calculation of area for evaluating the amount of FA is not correct. There is a need to do exercise and calculate FA as per guide lines and MCDR 2017.
- 54. Features to be shown as per rule 32(b) of MCDR 2017 are not depicted in surface geological plan.
- 55. Environment plan is not prepared as per rule 32(5)(b) of MCDR 2017.
- 56. Financial assurance plan is not prepared as per guideline. Only outlines of existing workings, dumps etc are to be shown. Further the area as shown in plan is more than that given in table. The FA amount should be calculated afresh.
- 57. Highest and lowest RLs are not marked on the relevant plates.

 Please note that all the corrections may be attended carefully before submitting three fair copies. It should be ensured that wherever rule MCDR 1988 is quoted, the latest rule in vogue i.e. MCDR 2017 should be given. All the corrections marked with blue and red ball point on text and plates should be attended.

rokjh C: Users Acer Desktop SL of Daikhan Bhajnar of Itfaq cement.doc

H'